Solid Earth and Natural Hazards Proposal Evaluation Form

Proposal Number	PI Last Name
Proposal Brief Title:	*************
Please circle your grade (E=Excellent; VG=	
Write Comments in the space provided or a	ttach a separate sheet.

1. Uniqueness of proposed research to NASA:

E VG G F P

Does the investigation use NASA or other space-based data; is it complementary to other NASA activities; is it research which is appropriate for NASA support? Comments on strengths and/or weaknesses:

2. Scientific/Technical Merit of Proposal

E VG G F P

Two qualities contribute to the assignment of an excellent score: First, the scientific or technical problem should be significant; Second the proposed research should make a major advance toward the solution of the problem. Without both these attributes, a score less than excellent (E) is appropriate.

Comments on strengths and/or weaknesses:

3. Contribution to NRA priorities (See Appendix A) E VG G F P

This is your opinion of how well the proposed research falls within the priorities of the Solid Earth and Natural Hazards Research Announcement. The priorities listed in Appendix A of this announcement are briefly:

Dynamics of the Solid Earth

Static and Time Variable Geoid and Gravity Field Earth Rotation and Earth System Angular Momentum

Geomagnetism

Topography and Surface Change

GPS Applications

Sea Level Change

Coastal Hazards

Floods, Drought, Regional Effects of Climate Variability

Thermal and Geothermal Hazards

Wildfires

Volcanic Hazards

Geologic Applications of remote sensing

Comments:

Return to: Solid Earth and Natural Hazards NRA (NRA 96-MTPE-05)

Code Y, Virginia Avenue, Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20024 Fax No: 202/554-2970

4. Feasibility of Approach

E VG G F P

An excellent score indicates a very high probability that the research objectives can be accomplished by the technical approach outlined, within the stated time period. Comments on strengths and/or weaknesses:

5. Realism of Costs:

E VG G F P

An excellent score indicates the requested budget amount is essential to accomplish the significant parts of the research program.

Comments:

6. Qualifications of Investigating Team

E VG G F P

An excellent score indicates that the PI and/or the team formed with any Co-I's and collaborators, is fully qualified to do the research, as indicated by a high level of experience and accomplishments in this field.

Comments on strengths and/or weaknesses:

7. Overall Evaluation

E VG G F P

HR

E=Excellent, I urge support

Evaluator's Printed Name

VG=Very Good, will make an important contribution, I recommend support

G=Good, a competent proposal, I recommend support if funds are available

F=Fair, satisfactory only in part, I recommend rejecting it in its present form

P=Poor and unsatisfactory, I recommend rejecting

HR=High Risk, scientifically intriguing, contains interesting or innovative ideas and addresses important problems, possibly difficult to evaluate. I recommend support on a trial basis if funds are available.

Overall Comments:

**************************************	for the purpose of
Evaluator's Signature	Date

Return to: Solid Earth and Natural Hazards NRA (NRA 96-MTPE-05)

Code Y, Virginia Avenue, Suite 700

Washington, D.C. 20024

Fax No: 202/554-2970